

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,676	08/20/2003	Gregory J. Everett	EGJ-203-A 6444		
7590 03/25/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Irving M. Weiner			NGUYEN, CUONG H		
Weiner & Burt, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
635 N. US-23 P.O. Box 186			3661		
Harrisville, MI 48740			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{A}$						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/644,676	EVERETT, GREGORY J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed 's will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 170	ctober 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio</li></ol>	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
אויסווויסווויסווויסווויסווויסווויסווויס						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03.

6) Other: \_

#### Status of the claims

1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication filed on 10/17/2003. Claims 1-5 are pending.

### Drawing

2. This application has been filed with 2 sheets of formal drawings, and they are accepted for examinations.

## Priority

3. This application claims priority from a US provisional application 60/405,335 filed on 8/21/2002.

# Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
- 4. Independent claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Lowrey et al. (US Pat. 6,611,740), in view of Chittipeddi (US Pat. 6,246,325), in view of Farris et al. (US Pat. 6,151,491).

Lowrey et al. suggest a wireless control device, obviously comprising:

a motherboard having a data port; a satellite modem;

a satellite antenna; network antennas (see also Chittipeddi, Figs.3-5); a GPS IC set; a GPS antenna; an OBD-II data bus communicator module; a voltage regulating circuit; a motherboard comprises memory and microprocessor; and means for decide which network having best communication condition (please note that these are fundamental device/circuit for wireless communication).

Lowrey et al. do not disclose about a roaming module connected to said data port or said motherboard.

However, Farris et al. suggest of using a roaming module (see Farris et al., 13:56-66).

a plurality of IC sets, may including CDMA, TDMA, GSM, and analog cellular connected to said network roam module (see Chittipeddi, Fig, 2; 4:51-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the configuration in the system of Lowrey et al. with Farris et al., and Chittipeddi's disclosure to expand the various kinds of signals in communications by automatic conversing to the best trans-receiving signals.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Lowrey et al. (US Pat. 6,611,740), in view of Chittipeddi (US Pat. 6,246,325), in view of Farris et al.

(US Pat. 6,151,491), and further in view of Hoffberg (US \_ Pat. 6,429,812).

The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

Lowrey et al., obviously suggest about sensing conditions of the vehicle with OBD-II via a gateway (see Lowrey et al., 3:14-17, and claim 8).

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Lowrey et al. (US Pat. 6,611,740), in view of Chittipeddi (US Pat. 6,246,325), in view of Farris et al. (US Pat. 6,151,491), and further in view of Hoffberg (US Pat. 6,429,812).

The rationales and references for rejection of claim 2 are incorporated.

Lowrey et al., also suggest about sensing conditions of the vehicle's mechanical and electrical function with OBD-II (see Lowrey et al., Table 1, 1:10 to 2:67, and 5:10-17).

Hoffberg further suggests using GPS of controlling/guiding, steering, braking, and accelerating a vehicle (see Hoffberg, Fig.1, and 20:2-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the configuration in the system of Lowrey et al., Chittipeddi and controls of a remote vehicle specifically utilizing its

OBD-II.

#### Conclusion

- 7. Claims 1-5 are not patentable.
- 8. Remark: About carrying forward another's invention—
  Design choice to make integral: there is a requirement that
  the unification or integration involve more than mere
  mechanical skill. In re Murray, 19 CCPA 739, 53 F.2d 541,
  11 USPQ 155; In re Zabel et al., 38 CCPA 832, 186 F.2d 735,
  88 USPQ 367.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703-305-4553. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:15am 3:45pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-305-7687.

S.N. 10/644,676 Art Unit 3661

1

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cuonsprzuyen

CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661